

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/038,230	KOYANAGI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Amended

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Julie W Meder.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 November 2006

**Time:** ~ 12:10 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none.*

Claims discussed:

*none.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



DANIEL S. METZMAIER  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER  
 ART UNIT 1712

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner noted that claim 5 did not further limit claim 6 since the presence of ionic components would have been present in the materials of claim 6, said ionic components have not been removed from the claimed compositions, and are characterized as stable. Examiner suggested cancellation of claim 5 as redundant by examiner's amendment. Said amendment was authorized.